

#### DOCUMENT CONTROL SHEET

#### 6286\_01\_SEA Screening Report of Proposed Material Alterations

Project No.	6286
Client:	Kildare County Council
Project Name:	Draft Leixlip Local Area Plan 2017-2023
Report Name:	SEA Screening Report of Proposed Material Alterations
Document No.	01
Issue No.	01
Date:	15/08/2017

This document has been issued and amended as follows:

Issue	Status	Date	Prepared	Checked
01	Draft Leixlip Plan SEA Screening Report	01 Jun 2018	Lo'G	ТВ
02	Draft Leixlip Plan SEA Screening Report	14 Aug 2017	Lo'G	ТВ

#### Contents

1	Introduction	. 1
2	Appropriate Assessment Screening	. 1
3	Strategic Flood Risk Assessment	. 1
4	SEA Screening Analysis	. 2
5	Schedule 2A Assessment	25
6	Conclusion	27

### 1 Introduction

Material Alterations to the Draft Leixlip Local Area Plan (LAP) 2017-2023 have been proposed by the Elected Members of Kildare County Council. These alterations have arisen following a review of the Chief Executives Report on submissions received during the public display period of the Leixlip Local Area Plan (LAP) 2017-2023 by the Elected Members of the Council.

In total, 1914 submissions were received on the Draft Leixlip Local Area Plan 2017-2023; 1021 of which related to the protection of St. Catherine's Park, 523 individual submissions, 346 in support of Leixlip Community Group submission, 24 from Woganfield Residents and 8 of which were from statutory bodies. The issues raised by the submissions were summarised and the response and recommendation of the Chief Executive was provided in accordance with the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended). Following consideration of the Chief Executive's Report on the submissions, the Elected Members decided to make a number of changes which are considered to be Material Alterations in response to a number of issues raised in the submissions.

As part of the Strategic Environmental Assessment process, all land use plans, such as this Draft LAP, must undergo a formal 'test' or be screened to see if they would have likely significant effects on the environment. In order to comply with Section 20(3)(f) of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, to make a determination that a SEA is required "to be carried out in respect to one or more than one proposed material alteration of the draft local area plan", this report has been prepared and considers whether the material alterations to the Draft LAP will have any likely significant environmental effects, and as such, would therefore require the preparation of a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA).

In order to assess whether the Material Alterations proposed by the Elected Members of Kildare County Council of the Plan require full Strategic Environmental Assessment, Kildare County Council carried out this SEA Screening exercise which identified if any of the proposed material alterations, as outlined in the public consultation document entitled 'Proposed Material Alterations to the Draft Leixlip Local Area Plan 2017-2023', would be likely to have significant impacts on the environment.

### 2 Appropriate Assessment Screening

Appropriate Assessment (AA) screening has been undertaken on the Proposed Material Alterations to the Draft Plan. AA is an assessment process relating to Natura 2000, or European, sites - these sites have been designated or proposed for designation by virtue of their ecological importance.

The Habitats Directive, it's transposing Birds and Natural Habitats Regulations 2011 (as amended) and the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) provide the requirement to screen the alterations for effects on European Sites. If the effects are deemed to be significant, potentially significant or if the potential for impact cannot be ruled out, then the alterations must undergo Stage 2 AA.

The AA Screening process has determined that the Proposed Material Alterations would not affect the integrity of the Natura 2000 network of sites and, therefore, Stage 2 AA is not necessary.

### 3 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment

Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) has been undertaken on Proposed Material Alterations relating to land use zoning. The findings of this assessment are provided in an Addendum to the SFRA that was prepared for the Draft Plan. The requirement for SFRA is provided under 'The Planning System and Flood Risk Management Guidelines for Planning Authorities' (DECLG, 2009).

The SFRA Addendum illustrates the land use zoning changes. These zoning changings are deemed suitable from a flood risk management perspective. The final SFRA report will be updated with commentary on the flood risk for

**SEA Screening Report of Proposed Material Alterations** 

the Confey Masterplan area. Flood risk at this site can be managed appropriately using a suitable site layout, taking land use vulnerabilities into account, as detailed in the Guidelines.

### 4 SEA Screening Analysis

Table 1 examines whether each part of the Proposed Material Alterations would be likely to have significant environmental effects (and thus would warrant the undertaking of Strategic Environmental Assessment). The text of the Draft Leixlip Local Area Plan is shown in its normal font. Proposed deletions are shown in <u>blue</u> strikethrough text. Proposed additions are shown as *red italic* text.

In the event, that one or more alterations would be likely to hold significant environmental impacts, Table 1 will be supplemented by a second table which will provide details on:

The likely significant effects, if unmitigated, of implementing the Proposed Material Alterations in combination with the Draft Plan;

- Key mitigation measure(s) that are already contained within the Draft Plan; and Residual non-significant adverse effects.
- Effects encompass the full range of effects, including those arising cumulatively such as those potentially arising as a result of interactions with other Proposed Material Alterations, the provisions of the Draft Plan and other plans and programmes.

The examination takes account relevant criteria set out in Schedule 2A 'Criteria for determining whether a plan is likely to have significant effects on the environment' of the SEA Regulations, as amended, (see Section 2.5) and will be updated to take account of any submissions or observations received from environmental authorities.

Alteration No.	Proposed Mater	ial Alteration			Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening			
No.1	Delete Key Deve	lopment Area 2 Cel	lbridge Road (East).			The proposed alteration has revised the number of hectares zoned for development		
	Consequential al	terations arising (A	<u>G):</u>			arising from the removal of Key Development Area 2 (KDA 2).		
	The Leixlip L land located information infill sites wi based on a d residential d the built up	adjacent to establi on Key Developme thin the built up ar lensity of 30 units p	ximately 50 39 hectares of usished residential areas (refernt Areas (KDAs)). The house ea is estimated to be 1,500 soor hectare. Over the lifeting be given to the development of the development of the development of the table 4-1.	There are no additional adverse effects arising from this change as the removal of KDA 2 will revert these lands to Open Space and Agriculture. As these changes would not result in significant environmental effects, further SEA is not required.				
	Location of Development	Quantum of Undeveloped Land (hectares)	Estimated Residential Capacity (approx. no. of Units)	Density Range** (units per hectare)				
	Infill	2.3	60 - 80	30-35				
	KDA* 1	15.0	450 - 525	30-35				
	KDA 2	<del>12.0</del>	<del>360 420</del>					
	KDA 3	12.0	360 - 420					
	KDA 4	10.0	300 - 350					
	Sub Total	<del>51.3</del> 39.3	<del>1530–1800</del> -1170 - 1380					
	Masterplan	86	1500**	35				

Alteration No.	Proposed Materi	al Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening			
	Lands at Confey					
	TOTAL	<del>137.3</del> 125.3	<del>3300</del> 2880	30-35	_	
	permissible will be	represent an estimate	e only. The density of deve ed design stage based on c			
	the Draft Plan and Leixlip G o KDA	rence to '4 Key Devel n (in Section 4.5, Sec ate throughout the d <del>3</del> 2 Easton 4 3 Leixlip Gate	The proposed alteration is a text adjustment arising from the removal of a Key Development Area 2. As these changes would not result in environmental effects, further SEA is not required.			
	C. Amend Figu	re 4.1 Core Strate		LEGEND LEGEND Key centre / transport hub 1000m catchment area Stategic link Green corridor Areas of consolidation Stategic growth area Industrial hub		The proposed alteration is a graphical adjustment arising from the removal of a Key Development Area. As these changes would not result in significant environmental effects, further SEA is not required.

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
	D. Delete Section 12.2.2 (Key Development Area 2: Celbridge Road (East))	The proposed alteration has revised the number of hectares zoned for development
	Key Development Area 2: Celbridge Road (East) — New Residential, Open Space & Amenity	arising from the removal of Key Development Area 2 (KDA 2).
	This development area is located to the south of Leixlip Town, north of the M4 motorway and is part of Leixlip Castle demesne. The lands are bound by Leixlip Park and Wogan's Field to the north and by the rear of properties fronting onto Pound Street to the east. This Key Development Area is physically and visually separated from Leixlip Castle by a woodland belt. KDA 2 is approximately 12.8 ha.	There are no additional adverse effects arising from this change as the removal of KDA 2 will revert these lands to lands zoned for Open Space and Agriculture. As these changes would not result in significant environmental effects, further
	Vision To consolidate the urban area of Leixlip through new residential development delivering connectivity to the town centre.	SEA is not required.
	Connectivity/ Movement Vehicular access to the development area will be via an improved access point on the Celbridge Road. Achieve pedestrian and cyclist permeability throughout the development area with the potential for linkages to Pound Street to be investigated (level differences are problematic) Design all roads and streets in accordance with the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets (DMURS).	
	<ul> <li>Built Form</li> <li>Create a legible development with a sense of place which understands the cultural heritage of the surrounding area. Have regard to residential amenity of existing dwellings at the perimeter, Buildings 2 – 3 storey height with transition in scale from existing residential development.</li> <li>This site will accommodate medium to low-density residential development in the order of 30 units per hectare. However, where the quality of the design and layout is particularly high, a maximum density of 35 units per hectare may be achievable, subject to also minimising impact on Leixlip Castle.</li> </ul>	

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alte	eration		Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
	development propos and pedestrian acce Landscape and Space Building layouts appropriate lan development.	all of Leixlip Castle sho als. Sections of the wi ss including along Cell i as will have regard to th dscaping should minin Retain natural heritag to areas of open space		
	E. Amend Section 13	3.2.1: Delete reference Key Development Ar	The proposed alteration is a text adjustment arising from the removal of a Key Development Area 2. As these changes would not result in environmental effects,	
	<del>Type of</del> Infrastructure	Description	Phasing	further SEA is not required.
	Road Upgrade	Complete vehicular junction at Celbridge Road.	To be completed prior to the commencement of development.	
	<u>Childcare</u>	Pro-rata childcare provision at a rate of 0.13 childcare spaces per dwelling.	Pro-rata provision for dwellings 1 – 100 to be completed prior to the commencement of dwelling no. 101 in KDA2. Pro-rata provision for remainder to be completed prior to the completion of development on zoned lands in KDA2.	
	F. <u>Amend Zoning M</u>	ap:		The proposed alteration comprises a

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
	Remove the 'C: New Residential' zoning at KDA 2 (12 ha approx.) and replace with 'I: Agriculture' zoning. See Map No. 4 'LAP Zoning Map'.	change in the zoning from 'New Residential' to 'Agriculture' arising from the removal of a Key Development Area 2. As the range of land uses under Agriculturally zoned land are less intensive, this changes would not result in adverse environmental effects. Therefore, no further SEA is required.
	G. <u>Amend Proposed Material Alteration No. 33 (Amendment to Section 12.1.1 KDA1 The</u> <u>Wonderful Barn):</u>	
	Delete sentence under 'Connectivity/Movement' that refers to KDA2.	
	<b>Connectivity/ Movement</b> Access to the development area will be via an improved access point on the Celbridge Road which will also provide vehicular, cycle and pedestrian access / links to The Wonderful Barn. Achieve pedestrian and cyclist permeability throughout. Design all roads and streets in accordance with the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets (DMURS). <i>Planning applications</i> <i>for significant development on these lands shall be accompanied by a Traffic Impact Assessment</i> <i>that takes into consideration the development potential of KDA-2.</i>	The proposed alteration is a text adjustment arising from the removal of a Key Development Area 2. As these changes would not result in environmental effects, further SEA is not required.
No.2	<ul> <li>Confey: Amend Zoning Map and Objective CSO1.3.</li> <li>Amend Map No. 4 'LAP Zoning Map' as follows:</li> </ul>	The proposed alteration is a graphic adjustment to the LAP Zoning Map arising from the removal of a Key Development Area. As these changes would not result in

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
	Remove the 'C: New Residential' zoning at Confey (86 ha approx.) and replace with 'I: Agriculture' zoning with a hatched overlay indicating that the area, including the 'E Community and Education' area, will be subject to a Masterplan as per Objective CSO1.3 of the Draft LAP.	significant environmental effects, further SEA is not required. The alteration to Objective CSO1.3 ensures that only development appropriate to agricultural land zonings will be permitted until such time as a Masterplan is prepared and brought forward as statutory amendment to the LAP. This is a protective measure and protects against development that is incompatible with the land zoning. These changes would not result in significant environmental effects, therefore, further SEA is not required.

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
	masterplan area until such time as the masterplan is integrated into the plan."	
No.3	Include new objective: UCRO1.5: To seek recognition for Leixlip Town as a Heritage Town and promote it as such with regard to tourism, cultural recreation and living.	The proposed new objective proposes to further promote the cultural heritage of Leixlip and will have a slightly positive environmental effect. Therefore, no further SEA is required.
No.4	<ul> <li>Amend objective UCRO3.6: To facilitate the extension, where appropriate, of the riverside walk along the northern bank of the River Liffey from Arthur Guinness Park William Roantree Park to Liffey Bridge.</li> <li>Reference to William Roantree Park will be amended in UCR03.8, Section 5.7.2 and Section 5.3.</li> </ul>	The proposed alteration corrects the name of the park referred to in Objective UCRO3.6. As no physical change will arise from this alteration, no environmental impact can arise. Therefore, no further SEA is required.
No.5	Amend the second Action under Policy UCR 3: To improve paving, planting, lighting and street furniture in the town centre area and to investigate the feasibility of carrying out such works in Ralph's Square.	The proposed alteration comprises a feasibility study of improvements to Ralph Square. This ensures any proposed improvements must be practical and planned in advance of any development. The amendment would have no adverse environmental impact. Therefore, no further SEA is required.
No.6	<ul> <li>Include new Policy UCR4.1:         <ul> <li>It is the policy of the Council to encourage owners of premises on Main Street when renewing their shopfronts to use the Irish language.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Amend Action         <ul> <li>To continue the Kildare County Council Shop Front / Town Centre Improvement Grant Scheme, this to include Grant Scheme for shop front accessibility, and assist, where appropriate, with the implementation of the grant scheme.         <ul> <li>To encourage the use of the Irish Language when shopfronts are being renewed.</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	The proposed policy encourages the use of the Irish language and will have a slightly positive impact on cultural heritage. No likely environmental effects will arise from this policy and therefore, no further SEA is required.

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
No.7	Amend Section 6.2.1 'Supporting Existing Business':	The proposed alteration supports the economic growth of Leixlip. As the
	Leixlip is home to two of the largest employers in the county, Intel and Hewlett Packard.	remaining text ensures that proposals will
	These multinational industries have long established relationships with Leixlip and have made significant investments in the development of their respective campuses at Collinstown and Barnhall. Collectively these two sites account for approximately 152 ha	be required to take full account of the sensitivities of the receiving environment, no adverse environmental effects will arise.
	of industrial and warehouse zoned land in Leixlip.	
		Therefore, no further SEA is required.
	Both companies engage in continuous estate management including reconfiguration and repurposing of existing buildings on site, upgrading of site infrastructure and new build if/as	
	required. This LAP supports the on-going operations of these significant industries and also supports further appropriate levels of <i>development and reconfiguration</i> expansion at the Intel	
	and Hewlett Packard business campuses. The Council will work with local and national agencies	
	to seek to ensure the HP campus remains an integral employment hub for Leixlip. All proposals	
	will be required to take full account of the sensitivities of the receiving environment including European designated sites' conservation objectives and Intel's designation as a Seveso site.	
No.8	Amend objective EDTO3.1:	This proposed alteration includes for an information/tourist office as part of
	To identify opportunities to improve the tourist product in Leixlip, <i>including an</i>	improving the tourist product in Leixlip and
	<i>information/tourist office,</i> and to co-operate with the appropriate statutory agencies, private tourism sector and community groups.	
		No further SEA is required.
No.9	Amend objective EDTO3.9:	This proposed alteration ensures the protection of the Wonderful Barn by
	To promote The Wonderful Barn as an integrated tourism and amenity destination with complementary commercial uses (see Section 9 also) <i>to be informed by a detailed conservation and management plan.</i>	providing for a detailed conservation and management plan and is likely to have a positive impact on the cultural heritage of the area.
		No further SEA is required.
No. 10	Amend Section 7.1.1 'Demographic Profile' and Table 7.2 'Age Profile of Leixlip':	The proposed amendment accounts for the recent CSO figure released from the 2016

Alteration No.	Proposed Mate	erial Alteration						Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
	the 2016 Censu	011 recorded a po is (as issued in July decrease in the Le	census and provides a more up to date baseline of the demographic changes in Leixlip. No environmental impact can arise					
	population beir However, the a	profile of the pop ng under 44, which ge profile is older here was also a sig	is consistent w	<del>vith the nation d to other to</del>	onal figure owns in the	for the same ag GDA. In the las	<del>se bracket.</del> t inter	from this change and therefore, no further SEA is required.
	represents a 0.3 In 2016 the age approximately of towns in the G proportion of po	016 recorded a po 3% decrease in pop 63% of the popula 63% of the popula 6DA. In the last i opulation over 65, 2 Profile of Leixlip <del>2</del>	pulation from 2 pulation of Lei tion is under 44 ntercensal peri rising from 7.7	011 for the s ixlip was typ , the age pro iod there w % to 12%.	ame geogr nical of the ofile is olde	aphic area. national avera r when compar	ige. While ed to other	
	Age Bracket	2011 Population	% of Total Population 2011	Nationa I % 2011	2016	% of Total Population 2016	Natio nal % 2016	
	0-14	<del>3328-3363</del>	21.5%	21%	3250	21%	21.1%	
	15-24	<del>2131-2148</del>	14%	12%	1865	12%	12.1%	
	25-44	4783 4834	31%	32%	4709	30%	29.5%	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Leixlip ED comprises a geographical area that is larger than the LAP boundary. The ED figure is used for population purposes as the ED boundary remained unchanged between the Censuses of 2011 and 2016 thus providing an accurate comparison, whereas due to the alterations to the 'legal town' boundary the figures for the two years are not comparable.

Alteration No.	Proposed Mate	erial Alteration						Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
	45-64	<del>4018</del> 4062	26%	23%	3832	25%	24%	
	65+	<del>1192</del> 1190	7.5%	12%	1920	12%	13.3%	
	Total	<del>15,452</del>	100%	100%	15,576	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	
No. 11	figure is used to Censuses of 2 alterations to to Amend objection To ensure that	D comprises a geo for population pur 2011 and 2016 th he 'legal town' bou ve HCO2.2: a good mix of hou eds of the populati	poses as the l us providing ndary the figu sing types and	ED boundar an accurate res for the t	y remained compariso wo years ar vided in ead	unchanged to on, whereas e not compar ch Key Develo	option of the due to the able.	The proposed alteration take account of the specific housing needs to the older population within Leixlip. The change could result in a slightly positive impact on the
No. 12	••	d facilitate the pro nd a skatepark. <i>A j</i>		• •		• •	•	This ensures any proposed improvements are suitably located and planned in advance of any development and would have no
No. 13	Amend Section 7.7 Other Com	n 7.7: munity, Sports, <i>Cul</i> i	tural and Recru	eation Facili	ties			<ul><li>adverse environmental impact. Therefore, no further SEA is required.</li><li>The proposed alteration includes for the facilitation of cultural facilities in Leixlip and will have a likely positive environmental</li></ul>
		s the policy of the C creational facilities		•	•	•	• •	effect. Therefore, no further SEA is required.

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
	HCO4.3: To support and promote the development of cultural, arts and performance spaces in Leixlip.	
No. 14	Include a new statement under Section 7.7 'Other Community, Sports, <i>Cultural</i> and Recreation Facilities':	The proposed statement provides a preferred location for the public swimming Pool at the Leixlip Amenities Centre. This
	It is considered that the priority site for a public swimming pool in Leixlip should be at the Leixlip Amenities Centre on the Maynooth Road.	consolidates the amenities within Leixlip and is not likely to have any adverse environmental impacts.
		No further SEA is required.
No. 15	Amend Policy MT1:	The proposed alteration provides for public
	It is the policy of the Council to promote enhanced permeability for pedestrians and cyclists within the urban environment in order to improve access to the town centre, local schools, recreational facilities, shops, public transport services and other amenities, subject to local public consultation. This includes providing improved connectivity across the River Rye, Royal Canal and railway line, and enhanced links with Maynooth and Celbridge. <i>Permeability projects through existing housing estates shall be subject to local public consultation.</i>	consultation when considering permeability projects through housing estates. This will allow proposed projects to gain local knowledge of natural desire line and ensure residents are included the process.
		No further SEA is required
No. 16	Amend objective MTO1.1: To ensure all footpaths in the town provide adequate access for the disabled and mobility impaired persons with a disability or who have impaired mobility.	This alteration restructures the existing sentence, though does not changes the meaning of the existing objective. Therefore, it will have no environmental impacts.
		No further SEA is required.
No.17	Include a new Action under MT1:	The proposed new action will facilitate
	To identify and provide suitable sites for bicycle racks.	sustainable travel within Leixlip and will have a slightly positive impact.
		No further SEA is required.
No. 18	Insert new objective MT02.5:	The proposed new objective proposes greater engagement with relevant

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
	To engage with the NTA, Dublin Bus, Irish Rail, Local Link and other stakeholders to improve the provision of public transport in Leixlip including the provision of a bus link between Leixlip and Celbridge and park and ride facilities.	stakeholders on public transport projects and will have no adverse environmental impact. Therefore, no further SEA is required.
No. 19	Insert new objective MTO2.6: <i>To liaise with Irish Rail regarding a new railway station at Collinstown</i> .	The proposed new objective proposes greater engagement with Irish Rail on public transport projects and will have no adverse environmental impact.
No. 20	Include a new objective under MT3 Roads: To investigate the feasibility of a new link road from the Celbridge Road (R404) to the south of the M4 connecting to the M4 Leixlip/Celbridge Interchange in consultation with TII, NTA and other stakeholders. A feasibility study shall be subject to a Traffic Impact Assessment.	Therefore, no further SEA is required. The proposed amendment comprises a feasibility study for a new link road. As the proposed study is located on existing road in the business Hewlett Packard Campus, is not proximate to the business campus and will be subject to Traffic Impact Assessment, the alteration is not likely to result in any negative environmental impact.
No. 21	Insert new objective under MT3 Roads: <i>To implement the agreed recommendations of the Green Lane-Easton Road Safety Assessment (January 2017) during the lifetime of the plan.</i>	Further SEA is not required. The proposed amendment will result in the implementation of the Green-Easton Road Safety Assessment and will have a slightly positive environmental impact. No further SEA is required.
No. 22	Amend objective MTO3.2:         To support the implementation of the following road improvement schemes, subject to the availability of funding and environmental and conservation requirements:         (i)       The realignment of the R148 (Maynooth Road) at Collinstown in line with the approved Part 8.	The proposed amendment to consider the future replacement/upgrade of the canal bridge will be subject to funding and environmental and conservation requirements as outlined in the text of

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
	<ul><li>(ii) The replacement/upgrading of Cope Bridge.</li><li>(iii) The improvement of the junction of Main Street and Mill Lane.</li></ul>	MTO3.2 and is therefore not likely to result in any negative environmental impact.
	(iv) To consider in the design for the realignment of the R148 at Collinstown provision for the future replacement / upgrade of the canal bridge and a railway bridge to provide additional access to development lands.	Further SEA is not required.
No. 23	Amend objective MTO3.10: To ensure that all significant development proposals for KDAs and Masterplan areas are subject to Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA), to be carried out in accordance with the Traffic and Transport Assessment Guidelines, NRA 2014 to assess the individual and cumulative impact of the planned development in the area on the strategic road network. The requirement for TIA for developments outside of the KDAs and Masterplan area will be determined on a case by case basis.	The additional text provides further clarification on purpose of the Traffic Impact Assessments in KDAs and masterplan areas. No environmental impact will arise and therefore, further SEA is not required.
No. 24	Amend Section 8.4 as follows: <del>Currently, there is a terraced public car park behind Darkie Moore's public house on Pound</del> <del>Street.</del>	This alteration has no environmental implications. Therefore, further SEA is not required.
No. 25	Amend objective BHO1.7: <i>To complete a Conservation Study for The Wonderful Barn and the whole of its environs to inform</i> <i>any following feasibility studies and public consultation for appropriate use and</i> to support the implementation of the conservation plan for the restoration and management of The Wonderful Barn and associated lands in association with key stakeholders.	The proposed amendment to complete a conservation study for the wonderful barn provides further protection to the structure and will have a likely positive impact on cultural heritage in the area. Therefore, no further SEA is required.
No. 26	Amend objective BHO1.8: To promote The Wonderful Barn as an integrated tourism attraction including the restoration of the main features of the complex and its historical landscape:	The proposed alteration comprises a feasibility study of play facilities and a picnic area. This ensures any proposed works are suitably located and planned in
	<ul> <li>(i) The re-arrangement of the existing access way;</li> <li>(ii) The integration of car parking facilities;</li> <li>(iii) The immediate consolidation and eventual restoration of the historic buildings;</li> <li>(iv) The reinstatement of the walled garden and rear courtyard;</li> </ul>	advance of any development and would have no adverse environmental impact. Therefore, no further SEA is required.

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
	<ul> <li>(v) The insertion of complementary commercial uses to ensure a sustainable future for the project.</li> <li>(vi) The feasibility of a Discovery Park including play facilities and a picnic area will be investigated.</li> </ul>	
No. 27	Amend Map 2 'Built Heritage and Archaeology Map' by including the following View from the County Development Plan 2017-2023: View of Rye Water from Black Bridge at Blakestown (Ref RW1 in CDP)           Image: County Development Plan 2017-2023	The proposed alteration includes a protected view that has identified in the Kildare County Development Plan 2017- 2023. This ensures the Draft LAP will be consistent with the County Development Plan. Therefore, no further SEA is required.
No. 28	Amend Figure 11.3 'Open Space in Leixlip' to include lands zoned Open Space located east of Confey Masterplan area north of the canal/railway line to reflect Zoning Map.	The proposed alteration comprises a revision of Figure 11.3 to ensure it is consistent with the Zoning map.

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
	<complex-block></complex-block>	Therefore, no further SEA is required.
No. 29	Amend objective G101.1: To <i>protect and</i> integrate <i>existing and new</i> Green Infrastructure as an essential component of	The additional text in Objective G101.1 is a minor change that adds a protective aspect to the objective.
	new developments and restrict development that would fragment the Green Infrastructure Network.	Therefore, no further SEA is required.
No. 30	Amend objective G101.7: To seek to preserve, protect and enhance trees (including woodlands) of special amenity, nature conservation or landscape value <i>including at the following locations:</i>	The proposed alteration has been revised to specify particular locations of special amenity, nature conservation or landscape value. This will have no adverse environmental impact and therefore, no

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
	St Catherine's Park	further SEA is required.
	The Black Avenue	
	Leixlip Castle Demense	
	Newtown House, Captain's Hill	
	In grounds of Leixlip House, adjoining public open space at Rye River Estate	
	Both sides of the aqueduct embankment	
	Sileachain Valley, between fire station and Glendale Meadows	
	East side of laneway to Leixlip Gate	
	Marshfield House, Mill Lane	
	Open space adjacent to Rye water at Rye River Estate	
	Along north bank of Canal, Collinstown	
	Between River Forest and Ryevale Lawns	
	Trees along Main Street	
	Ryevale House and adjoining public open space at Ryevale Lawns	
No. 31	Insert new objectives under Green Infrastructure as follows:	The proposed objectives ensure the long-
	(A) To seek to protect, preserve and develop St. Catherine's Park as a public amenity.	term protection of St. Catherine's park as a public amenity.
	(B) To protect the amenity of St. Catherine's Park. No road proposal shall be considered by this	
	Council through the park within this Council's ownership or jurisdiction.	Therefore, no further SEA is required.
No. 32	Insert new objective under Green Infrastructure as follows:	The proposed objective to examine the
		feasibility of extending St. Catherine's Park
	To examine the feasibility of extending St. Catherine's Park on lands between Sileachán	is a preliminary assessment of the potential
	Valley, existing housing estates and Black Avenue and to liaise with the OPW and/or	of the lands between the existing Park and
	other relevant state agencies in this regard.	Sileachán Valley, existing housing estates and Black Avenue. A potentially positive
	See Figure 11.3 'Open Space in Leixlip'.	impact could arise form a visual and amenity perspective.
		Therefore, no further SEA is required.

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
No. 33	Amend Section 12.1.1 KDA1 The Wonderful Barn:	Alteration provides that application for significant development in KDA 1 will be
	Vision The extension of the urban area of Leixlip through new residential development and open space and amenity, with a high quality permeable urban form, which provides links to and protects the cultural heritage of The Wonderful Barn.	subject to Traffic Impact Assessments that take account of traffic impacts from KDA 2. This will further protect against the potential for cumulative negative traffic impacts.
	<b>Connectivity/ Movement</b> Access to the development area will be via an improved access point on the Celbridge Road which will also provide vehicular, cycle and pedestrian access / links to The Wonderful Barn. Achieve pedestrian and cyclist permeability throughout. Design all roads and streets in accordance with the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets (DMURS). <i>Planning applications for significant development on these lands shall be accompanied by a Traffic Impact Assessment that takes into consideration the development potential of KDA 2.</i>	The provision of a minimum of 15% quality open space would be likely to have minor positive environmental effects. Further SEA is not required.
	<b>Built Form</b> Create a legible development with a sense of place which understands the cultural heritage of the surrounding area and has regard to the residential amenity of the existing dwellings to the north, west and east of the development area. Provide for buildings of 2 storey in height (limited to an overall height of approximately 8m) This site will accommodate medium to low-density residential development in the order of 30 units per hectare. However, where the quality of the design and layout is particularly high and it is determined that it would not impact unduly on the setting of The Wonderful Barn, a maximum density of 35 units per hectare may be achievable. <i>Apartments and flat roof houses would not be appropriate in this area.</i> Respect a zone of protection around The Wonderful Barn. Public open space should reflect existing spaces in adjoining developments, enhancing the visual amenity, with the possibility of merging in the future.	
	Landscape and Spaces Building layouts will have regard to the protection of key views within the site and appropriate landscaping should minimise the visual impact of any new residential development. Provide for minimum 15% quality open space within the residential lands. Retain natural heritage and	

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
	<i>existing</i> green infrastructure features through incorporation into areas of open space and boundaries of residential development.	
No. 34	Amend Section 12.1.3 KDA3 Easton (off Green Lane):	Alteration provides that application for significant development will be subject to
	Vision The extension of the urban area of Leixlip through new residential development and open space and amenity, with a high quality permeable urban form and creating a built edge to the R449.	Traffic Impact Assessments that take account of traffic impacts from KDA 4. This will further protect against the potential for cumulative negative traffic impacts.
	<b>Connectivity/ Movement</b> Access to the site will be via an improved access point on Green Lane. Achieve vehicular, pedestrian and cyclist permeability throughout the development area and pedestrian and cyclist access to the R449 to the west. <i>Planning applications for significant development on these lands shall be accompanied by a Traffic Impact Assessment that takes into consideration the development potential of KDA 4.</i>	The provision of a minimum of 15% qualit open space would be likely to have mino positive environmental effects. Further SEA is not required.
	<b>Built Form</b> This site will accommodate medium to low-density residential development in the order of 30 units per hectare. However, where the quality of the design and layout is particularly high, a maximum density of 35 units per hectare may be achievable. Layout to have regard to the overhead transmission cables traversing the area and the clearance distances recommended by the ESB (ref. Section 17.11.2 of the Kildare County Development Plan 2017 – 2023). Have regard to residential amenity of existing dwellings to the east- buildings to be 2 storeys in height along this perimeter. High quality development form at the roundabout junction of the R449 and Green Lane should announce the town. Buildings 3- 4 storeys may be provided at the roundabout junction of the R449 and Green Lane along the perimeter with R449. Provide passive surveillance of roads and open spaces. Buildings shall maintain an appropriate set back from the roundabout at the R449 and Green Lane.	
	Landscape and Spaces Provide for minimum 15% quality open space within the residential lands. Retain natural	

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
	heritage and <i>existing</i> green infrastructure features through incorporation into <i>areas of open</i> <i>space and</i> boundaries of residential development. Incorporate natural heritage and green infrastructure features in addressing flood risk and preparation of SuDs Strategy.	

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
No. 35	Amend Section 12.1.4 as follows:	Alteration provides that application for significant development will be subject to Traffic Impact Assessments that take
	Vision The consolidation of the urban area of Leixlip through new residential development and open space and amenity, with a high quality permeable urban form and creating a built up edge along the R449.	<ul><li>account of traffic impacts from KDA 3. This will further protect against the potential for cumulative negative traffic impacts.</li><li>The provision of a minimum of 15% quality open space would be likely to have minor positive environmental effects.</li><li>Further SEA is not required.</li></ul>
	<b>Connectivity/ Movement</b> Access to the site will be via Leixlip Gate and onto Green Lane. Achieve vehicular, pedestrian and cyclist permeability throughout the development area and pedestrian/cyclists access to the R449 to the west. <i>Planning applications for significant development on these lands shall be accompanied by a Traffic Impact Assessment that takes into consideration the development potential of KDA 3.</i>	
	<b>Built Form</b> This site will accommodate medium to low-density residential development in the order of 30 units per hectare. However, where the quality of the design and layout is particularly high, a maximum density of 35 units per hectare may be achievable. Layout to have regard to the residential amenity of existing dwellings, buildings to be 2 storeys in height along perimeter with existing dwellings. High quality development form along the R449 should announce the town and buildings 3-4 storeys may be provided at the roundabout junction of the R449 and Green Lane. Provide passive surveillance of roads and open spaces. The entrance gate is a Protected Structure (Ref. B11-59) and leads to a tree lined avenue, formerly part of Castletown Demesne. Proposals should seek to minimise impact on the Protected Structure and avenue. Buildings shall maintain an appropriate set back from the roundabout at the R449 and Green Lane.	
	Landscape and Spaces Provide for minimum 15% quality open space within the residential lands. Retain natural heritage and existing green infrastructure features through incorporation into areas of open space and boundaries of residential development. Incorporate natural heritage and green infrastructure features in addressing flood risk and preparation of SuDs Strategy. Use landscaping to create buffer from R449 and M4.	

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration	Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening
No. 36	Amend Section 12.2.1 Collinstown by including an additional bullet point: The masterplan will be required to promote a high environmental quality enterprise/employment zone at this strategic location in the context of North Kildare. The area should be designed and landscaped with the image of a modern and contemporary 'business park' and should address ( <i>inter alia</i> ) the following:	The proposed alteration ensures the Collinstown Masterplan has regard to the national guidelines on 'The requirements of the Spatial Planning and National Roads Guidelines for Planning Authorities (DECLG, 2012).'
	<i>The requirements of the Spatial Planning and National Roads Guidelines for Planning Authorities (DECLG, 2012).</i>	Therefore, no SEA is required.
No. 37	<ul> <li>Amend Section 12.2.2 Confey:</li> <li>This is a green field area to the north of Leixlip with little or no existing development. In order to achieve the vision of a new residential and community district for the area in a coherent and planned manner the timely delivery of critical supporting infrastructure is required. Accordingly, the approach will be to front-load critical infrastructure in the early stages of the development. The masterplan will therefore be required to:</li> <li>Set out a detailed transportation and infrastructure strategy for the development of the area as a whole. This strategy will be informed by a detailed traffic assessment to ascertain the extent of development that could be supported by particular upgrading works to the transportation network and will take account of the proposal to electrify the rail line and car parking provision for Confey Train Station.</li> <li>Include phasing proposals and an implementation strategy for the overall co-ordination of the development of the lands to be informed by the roads and infrastructure strategy.</li> <li>Have regard to Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas (2009), its companion Urban Design Manual and the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets (2013).</li> <li>Include an appropriate level of community infrastructure to support development including a site for a primary school, a post primary school and community centre.</li> <li>Include an appropriate level of public open space to support development including a</li> </ul>	The proposed alteration provides for the inclusion of car parking provision for Confey Train Station in the Confey Masterplan. The existing text ensures detailed traffic assessment is carried out on this proposal and as such, is not likely to result in negative environmental effects. Further SEA is not required. The text of Section 12.2.2 has also been revised to provide for the future need for a post primary school in the Confey Masterplan. This is included under the provision of community infrastructure and will have a likely positive impact for human beings. The inclusion of public consultation with regard to the Confey Masterplan is a positive planning measure and is not likely

Alteration No.	Proposed Material Alteration										Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening	
	public park of district scale.											to result in environmental effects.
	A site specific Flood Risk Assessment will also be required for the masterplan area to infor overall design approach. <i>Public consultation with the community, stakeholders and statut authorities will be carried out as part of the Statutory Amendment process for the Confey Masterplan.</i>									tutory	Further SEA is not required.	
No. 38	Amend Table 13-3 Land Use Zoning Matrix:											The land-use zoning matrix illustrates the
	Land Use	A – Town Centre	B - Existing Residential & Infill	C – New Residential	E – Community & Educational	F – Open Space & Amenity	N Neighbourhood Centre	H - Industrial &W/housing	I - Agriculture	Q – Business & Technology	U -Public Utilities	range of land uses together with an indication of their broad based acceptability in each of the land use zonings. The proposed alteration allows for industrial and warehousing in Office landuses and is not likely to result in
	Offices	Y	0 <sup>2</sup>	0	0	Ν	0	<mark>₩-</mark>	Ν	0	Ν	
											negative environmental effects.	
											Therefore, further SEA is not required.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Proposals of this nature shall be restricted to circa 100sqm.

### 5 Schedule 2A Assessment

PART 1

1. The characteristics of the plan having regard, in particular, to: the degree to which the plan sets a framework for projects and other activities, either with regard to the location, nature, size and operating conditions or by allocating resources.

The changes proposed by the Material Alterations relate to the provisions of the Draft Leixlip LAP which provides a framework for land use planning in Leixlip, County Kildare. Proposed Material Alterations encompass changes to the text and maps of the Draft Plan.

Taking the above and the examination of the various Proposed Alterations provided under Section 3 into account, arising from the degree to which the Proposed Material Alterations set a framework for projects and other activities, there are no proposed Material Alterations that would be likely to result in significant environmental effects.

# 2. The characteristics of the plan having regard, in particular, to: the degree to which the plan influences other plans, including those in a hierarchy.

The proposed Material Alterations are being made to the Draft Leixlip LAP which is directly influenced by the County Development Plan and higher tier land use plans.

On examination of the various proposed Material Alterations provided under Section 4, arising from the degree to which the proposed Material Alterations and associated Leixlip LAP influence other plans, there are no proposed Material Alterations that would be likely to result in significant environmental effects

# 3. The characteristics of the plan having regard, in particular, to: the relevance of the plan for the integration of environmental considerations in particular with a view to promoting sustainable development.

The Draft Leixlip LAP, to which the Proposed Material Alterations relate, has undergone SEA. This process integrated environmental considerations into the Draft Plan and found that the Draft Plan contributes to environmental protection and management and sustainable development.

Taking the above and the examination of the various proposed Material Alterations provided under Section 3 into account, arising from the degree to which the proposed Material Alterations and associated Local Area Plan are relevant for the integration of environmental considerations with a view to promoting sustainable development, there are no Proposed Material Alterations that would be likely to result in significant environmental effects.

Therefore, no further SEA is required.

# 4. The characteristics of the plan having regard, in particular, to: environmental problems relevant to the plan.

Environmental problems arise where there is a conflict between current environmental conditions and legislative targets. Through its provisions relating to environmental protection and management, the Draft Plan contributes towards ensuring environmental conditions do not worsen and, where possible, contributes towards their amelioration.

Taking the above and the examination of the various Proposed Material Alterations provided under Section 3 into account, arising from environmental problems relevant to the Proposed Material Alterations and associated Draft Leixlip LAP, there are no Proposed Material Alterations the would be likely to result in significant environmental effects.

Therefore, no further SEA is required.

5. The characteristics of the plan having regard, in particular, to: the relevance of the plan for the implementation of European Union legislation on the environment (e.g. plans linked to waste-management or water protection)

The Draft Leixlip LAP relates to the land use sector and has undergone SEA. This process integrated considerations with regard to EU and national legislation on the environment into the Plan, including those relating to the waste management and the Water Framework Directive.

Taking the above and the examination of the various Proposed Material Alterations provided under Section 3 into account, arising from the relevance of the Proposed Material Alterations for the implementation of European Union legislation on the environment, there are no proposed Material Alterations would not be likely to result in significant environmental effects.

Therefore, no further SEA is required

#### PART 2

# **1**. Characteristics of the effects and of the area likely to be affected, having regard, in particular, to: the probability, duration, frequency and reversibility of the effects

The Proposed Material Alterations would not be likely to result in significant environmental effects (see responses under Schedule 2A Part 1 above and the examination of the various Proposed Material Alterations provided under Section 3).

# 2. Characteristics of the effects and of the area likely to be affected, having regard, in particular, to: the cumulative nature of the effects

The Proposed Material Alterations would not be likely to result in significant environmental effects (see responses under Schedule 2A Part 1 above and the examination of the various Proposed Material Alterations provided under Section 3).

# 3. Characteristics of the effects and of the area likely to be affected, having regard, in particular, to: the transboundary nature of the effects

The Proposed Material Alterations would not be likely to result in significant human health effects (see responses under Schedule 2A Part 1 above and the examination of the various Proposed Material Alterations provided under Section 3).

# 4. Characteristics of the effects and of the area likely to be affected, having regard, in particular, to: the risks to human health or the environment (e.g. due to accidents)

The Proposed Material Alterations would not be likely to result in significant human health effects (see responses under Schedule 2A Part 1 above and the examination of the various Proposed Material Alterations provided under Section 3).

# 5. Characteristics of the effects and of the area likely to be affected, having regard, in particular, to: the magnitude and spatial extent of the effects (geographical area and size of the population likely to be affected)

The Proposed Material Alterations would not be likely to result in significant environmental effects (see responses under Schedule 2A Part 1 above and the examination of the various Proposed Material Alterations provided under Section 3).

# 6. Characteristics of the effects and of the area likely to be affected, having regard, in particular, to: the value and vulnerability of the area likely to be affected due to:

**SEA Screening Report of Proposed Material Alterations** 

a) Special natural characteristics or cultural heritage;

The Proposed Material Alterations would not be likely to result in significant environmental effects (see responses under Schedule 2A Part 1 above and the examination of the various Proposed Material Alterations provided under Section 4).

b) Exceeded environmental quality standards or limit values, and;

The Proposed Material Alterations would not be likely to result in significant environmental effects (see responses under Schedule 2A Part 1 above and the examination of the various Proposed Material Alterations provided under Section 4).

c) Intensive land-use.

The Proposed Material Alterations would not be likely to result in significant environmental effects (see responses under Schedule 2A Part 1 above and the examination of the various Proposed Material Alterations provided under Section 3).

7. Characteristics of the effects and of the area likely to be affected, having regard, in particular, to: the effects on areas or landscapes which have a recognised national, European Union or international protection status.

The Proposed Material Alterations would not be likely to result in significant environmental effects (see responses under Schedule 2A Part 1 above and the examination of the various Proposed Material Alterations provided under Section 4).

#### 6 Conclusion

This screening takes into account the relevant criteria set out in Schedule 2A 'Criteria for determining whether a plan is likely to have significant effects on the environment' of the Planning and Development (SEA) Regulations 2004 (as amended). The purpose of the report is to provide the findings on the effects arising from the proposed material alterations to the Draft Leixlip LAP 2017-2023.

Taking into account the content of the proposed alterations with the existing protective measures within the Draft Plan, it has been determined that the Proposed Material Alterations will not result in significant environmental impacts.

Therefore, it is determined that Strategic Environmental Assessment is not required for the Proposed Material Alterations.

### **Brady Shipman Martin**

#### DUBLIN

Canal House Canal Road Dublin 6 +353 1 208 1900

#### CORK

Penrose Wharf Business Centre Penrose Wharf Cork +353 21 242 5620

#### LIMERICK

11 The Crescent Limerick +353 61 315 127

mail@bradyshipmanmartin.com www.bradyshipmanmartin.com